

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,704	03/09/2004	Michael Austin	10177-095-999 (CAM #00856	4218
20583 JONES DAY	7590 03/27/200	7	EXAMINER	
222 EAST 41S			EDWARDS, LAURA ESTELLE	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/797,704	AUSTIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura Edwards	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		1			
. •	ALC OFT TO EXPIDE A MONTH	(0) 00 THETY (00) DAYO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De	ecember 2006.				
_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-21 and 30-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Fyaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u></u> .	6) 🔲 Other:				

C

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election of Group II, Species B correlating with Figs. 9A and 9B, claims 22-29[30] in the reply filed on 12/21/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicants should note that claim 30 does NOT correlate with Species B as shown in Figs. 9A and 9B or as set forth in the instant specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shibata (JP 11-111423).

Shibata et al provide an apparatus for coating a tubular member (32) comprising a coating material source (501) containing a coating material; a first roller (201) having a surface; and a second roller (301) having a surface, wherein the first roller is situated relative to the coating material source so that the coating material in the coating material source can be transferred to the first roller surface; the first roller and second roller are situated relative to each other so that the first roller can transfer the coating material transferred to the first roller surface to the second roller surface, and the second roller is situated relative to the tubular member so that the second

Application/Control Number: 10/797,704

Art Unit: 1734

roller can transfer the coating material transferred to the second roller surface to the outer surface of the tubular member. The surface of the second roller (301) is rougher than the surface of the first roller (201) because the surface of the second roller has protrusions thereon as illustrated in Fig. 4a/b relative to the smooth surface of the first roller (201). The surface of the first roller contacts the surface of the second roller and the surface of the second roller contacts the outer surface of the tubular member. A blade mechanism (500) removes excess coating material from the surface of the first roller (201). The coated tubular member is subjected to an energy source or heater as evidenced by the last sentence of the abstract because the tubular member is baked. The Shibata apparatus is equivalent in structure to the instantly claimed invention and thereby anticipates the claimed invention even though the Shibata apparatus is not used with a medical device. Note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F2.d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,704

Art Unit: 1734

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Page 4

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibata (JP 11-111423) in view of Kirk Othmer.

The teachings of Shibata have been mentioned above and while Shibata recognizes exposure of the coating to baking, there is no teaching or suggestion of the use of a light source including ultraviolet light. However, it is well known and conventional in the coating art to use a radiation source (i.e., uv light) dry or cure an applied coating as evidenced by Kirk Othmer (see page 616, under the heading, "Curing With Ultraviolet,...". One of ordinary skill in the art would readily appreciate the use of an appropriate drying source including UV light, to dry or cure an applied coating to the tubular member. It would be within the purview of one skilled in the art to use an appropriate source of energy in the apparatus of Shibata in accordance with the type of coating material applied to the tubular member.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents disclose established art with respect to the treatment of

Art Unit: 1734

cylindrical objects using a roller arrangement: Underwood (US 364,873) and Buchanan (US

792,394).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Laura Edwards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1227. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura Edwards Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1734

March 19, 2007